CATAPHRYXUS SHIINO, 1936 (CRUSTACEA, ISOPODA), PROPOSED CONSERVATION UNDER THE PLENARY POWERS Z.N.(S.) 2217

By John C. Markham (Bermuda Biological Station for Research, Inc., St. George's West 1-15, Bermuda)

The object of this proposal is to eliminate confusion between two different nominal genera of bopyrid isopods, *Epiphrixus* Nierstrasz & Brender à Brandis, 1932, and *Epiphryxus* Shiino, 1934, and to validate the technically invalid treatment of their

names as homonyms.

2. Caroli (1930), recognising that the generic name *Phryxus* Rathke, 1843, was a junior homonym of *Phryxus* Hübner, 1819 in Lepidoptera, proposed as a replacement name the alternate spelling *Phrixus*, even though he was aware of the available name *Hemiarthrus* Giard & Bonnier, 1887 (: 36), which had been proposed expressly as a replacement name for *Phryxus* Rathke. Although *Hemiarthrus* is now accepted as the correct name for that genus (for discussion see Markham, 1972), confusion in the spelling of names derived from *Phryxus* or *Phrixus* persists.

3. Nierstrasz & Brender à Brandis (1932: 99), accepting Caroli's name *Phrixus*, described a closely related genus which they named *Epiphrixus*, type-species *Epiphrixus adriaticus* Nierstrasz & Brender à Brandis. Shiino (1934: 281), unaware of the paper by Nierstrasz & Brender à Brandis, described yet another closely related genus, which he named *Epiphryxus*, type-species, by monotypy, *Epiphryxus primus* Shiino. Upon subsequently learning of the existence of *Epiphrixus*, he regarded *Epiphryxus* Shiino as a junior homonym of it and proposed the replacement name *Cataphryxus* Shiino, 1936 (: 172-173) for *Epiphryxus* Shiino, 1934.

4. According to Article 56a of the Code, generic names distinguished by a single letter are not homonyms, so *Epiphryxus* did not need to be replaced and consequently *Cataphryxus* should be regarded as a junior objective synonym of the valid name *Epiphryxus* and thus invalid. Unfortunately, if this principle were followed in the present case, considerable confusion would result, because (a) the genera *Epiphrixus* and *Epiphryxus* belong to the same subfamily, (b) there is no distinction between the pronunciation of the two names, and (c) it has been a common, though deplorable, practice among several authors to spell all names ending in the element *-phrixus* or *-phryxus* in a single manner regardless of their respective original spellings. Thus the distinction in spelling between *Epiphrixus* and *Epiphryxus* is likely to be obscured.

- 5. Suppression of the senior objective synonym *Epiphryxus* Shiino would automatically make the name *Cataphryxus* valid for the genus in question, thereby formally approving the express purpose of Shiino (1936) in proposing the latter name.
 - 6. The Commission is therefore asked:

(1) to use its plenary powers to suppress the generic name *Epiphryxus* Shiino, 1934, for the purposes of the Law of Priority, but not for those of the Law of Homonymy;

(2) to place the following names on the Official List of

Generic Names in Zoology:

(a) Epiphrixus Nierstrasz & Brender à Brandis, 1932 (gender, masculine), type-species, by monotypy, Epiphrixus adriaticus Nierstrasz & Brender à Brandis;

(b) Cataphryxus Shiino, 1936 (gender, masculine), typespecies, through Epiphryxus Shiino, 1934,

Epiphryxus primus Shiino, 1934;

(3) to place the following names on the Official List of

Specific Names in Zoology:

(a) adriaticus Nierstrasz & Brender à Brandis, 1932, as published in the binomen Epiphrixus adriaticus (specific name of type-species of Epiphrixus Nierstrasz & Brender à Brandis, 1932);

(b) primus Shiino, 1934, as published in the binomen Epiphryxus primus (specific name of type-species of

Cataphryxus Shiino, 1936);

(4) to place the generic name *Epiphryxus* Shiino, 1934, as suppressed under the plenary powers in (1) above, on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology.

REFERENCES

CAROLI, E., 1930. Notizia di tre specie nuove ed una poco nota di Bopiridi addominali, parasiti di Caridea del golfo di Napoli (Contributo alla conoscenza del genere *Phrixus* Rathke). *Boll. Soc. Nat. Napoli*, vol. 41: 258 - 269

GIARD, A. & BONNIER, J., 1887. Contribution à l'étude des bopyriens. Trav. Inst. Zool. Lille et Stat. Mar. Wimereux, vol. 5:1-272

MARKHAM, J.C., 1972. Two new genera of western Atlantic abdominally parasitising Bopyridae (Isopods, Epicaridea), with a proposed new name for their subfamily. *Crustaceana*, Suppl. 3: 39-56

NIERSTRASZ, H.F. & BRENDER A BRANDIS, G.A., 1932. Alte und neue Epicaridea. Zool. Anz., vol. 101: 90-100

SHIINO, S.M., 1934. Bopyrids from Tanabe Bay, II. Mem. Coll. Sci. Kyoto Imp. Univ. (B) vol. 9: 258-287

______1936. Bopyrids from Tanabe Bay, III. Mem. Coll. Sci. Kyoto Imp. Univ. (B) vol. 11:157-174.